

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,600	01/03/2007	Marinus Johannes Henricus Maria Van Den Elzen	02299.002230.	4764
	7590 10/05/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
, NEW TORK, I	N1 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/568,600	VAN DEN ELZEN				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		John R. Paradiso	3721				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ARANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.				
Status							
2a) <u></u>	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 April 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 1	Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-32 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the objected States and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Chemical States and the objected Stat	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
		armier. Note the attached Office	Action of 16th F 10-132,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(s)						
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/2006	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number: 10/568,600

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOTOYAMA ET AL (US 4154636).

MOTOYAMA ET AL discloses a method and apparatus for packaging products including two rollers (3, 4) that mold a top film (2) and a bottom film (1) into a package encapsulating items (10) in a bandolier form (see Fig. 3).

MOTOYAMA ET AL does not specifically disclose the encapsulating of candies into bandoliers.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the method and apparatus of MOTOYAMA ET AL to encapsulate candies, in order to provide the consumer with a wider variety of options.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,600

Art Unit: 3721

Page 3

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 3. examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. - 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (571) 272-4466

October 1, 2007

Additional Phone Numbers:

Supervisor Rinaldi Rada: (571) 272-4467 Fax (Official): (571) 273-8300

Fax (Direct to Examiner)

(571) 273-4466 (Drafts only)